

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/534,589	03/22/2006	Choon-Keun Hong	11281-068-999	4444
20583 JONES DAY	7590 02/11/20	1	EXAMINER	
222 EAST 41		HOFFMANN, JOHN M		
NEW YORK,	NY 10017		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1741	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/11/2011	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/534,589	HONG ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
John Hoffmann	1741	

	John Hoffmann	1741						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress					
THE REPLY FILED 04 February 2011 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.								
☑ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 4.131; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:								
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. It no event, the total top store the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.								
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (I MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE							
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension the under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filled, may reduce any seamed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL								
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compi filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with</li> </ol>	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the						
AMENDMENTS 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, by			cause					
<ul> <li>(a)          \overline{\text{They raise new issues that would require further cor}}     </li> <li>(b)          \text{They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below the control of the c</li></ul>	v);							
<ul> <li>(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or</li> </ul>	er form for appeal by materially rec	ducing or simplifying ti	ne issues for					
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1:		ected claims.						
4. ☐ The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 5. ☐ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):	1. See attached Notice of Non-Cor	mpliant Amendment (	PTOL-324).					
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).	owable if submitted in a separate, t	•	0					
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		be entered and an ex	planation of					
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:								
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-4 and 6-14</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:								
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE								
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>								
The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	I and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a					
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER</li> </ol>	of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.					
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but The arguments are directed to the proposed amendment								
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (13. Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)							
	/John Hoffmann/ Primary Examiner, Art U	nit 1741						

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The new issues if the changes to claim 1 would make any of the claims allowable.